

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/031,561	10/25/2001	Kazuhiko Yamashita	19036-37906	8725	
75	90 10/07/2003		EXAMI	NER	
Nate F Scapell	i		GIBSON, RANDY W		
6300 Sears Tow 233 South Wack			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 6			2841		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	.	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	App	licant(s)					
· ·	10/031,561		YAMASHITA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit la					
•	Randy W. Gibson		l l					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, howeventhin the statutory mining ill apply and will expire Scause the application to	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be IX (6) MONTHS from the mai become ABANDONED (35 L	f considered timely. ling date of this communication .S.C. § 133).	1 .				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-fir	al.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a secondary condition.				is				
Disposition of Claims	_x parte Quayle,	1905 C.D. 11, 400 C	.0. 210.					
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	•							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requiren	nent.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		objected to by the	Evaminer					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been recei	ved.						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3.	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	his National Stage					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e) (to	a provisional applicati	ion).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti								
Attachment(s)				٠				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO Notice of Informal Patent Other:	-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/031,561

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of what appear to be trademarks, namely AppleTalk, NetBIOS, NetBEUI, IPX/SPX, & TCP/IP, have been noted in this application; however, there is no "TM" superscript (for common-law trademarks) or "®" symbol (for marks registered with the PTO) accompanying the trade names. Clarification is required

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 7 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities: the use of trade names in the claims which are not clearly defined in the written description. Since software may be updated, re-written, or changed at any time by issuing a new version, it is unclear what exactly is being claimed. In patent specifications, every element or ingredient of the product should be set forth in positive, exact, intelligible language, so that there will be no uncertainty as to what is meant. Arbitrary trademarks which are liable to mean different things at the pleasure of manufacturers do not constitute such language. See *Ex Parte Kattwinkle*, 12 USPQ 11 (Bd. App. 1931). If the trademark has a fixed and definite meaning, it constitutes sufficient identification unless some physical

Art Unit: 2841

or chemical characteristic of the article or material is involved in the invention. In that event, as also in those cases where the trademark has no fixed and definite meaning, identification by scientific or other explanatory language is necessary. See *In re Gebauer-Fuelnegg*, 121 F.2d 505, 50 USPQ 125 (CCPA 1941); and, *MPEP* § 608.01(v). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nobutsugu (U.S. # 4,658,919) in view of Tomokazu (JP 10-161880). Nobutsugu shows a combinational weighing apparatus which uses a local area network (LAN) to transmit commands to and from a central CPU controller to individual CPU controllers. Nobutsugu discloses the claimed invention except for the ability to reprogram the controllers by a remote user. Tomokazu discloses that it is known to reprogram a computer connected to a LAN from a remote computer. It would have been obvious to modify the device of Nobutsugu to allow it to be reprogrammed with updated software from a remote location such as the manufacturer, as suggested by Tomokazu, to allow

Art Unit: 2841

updated control software to be installed in a machine on-site in the factory with-out the need for a costly service call by a technician from the manufacture's location.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gesuita et al disclose a combinational weigher with "internet capabilities", but applicant's priority date predates the filing date of Gesuita et al. Schwartz et al (Col. 21, lines 40-64) and Schurr (Col. 1, lines 57 to col. 2, lines 65) suggest installing data into a computerized scale from a remote location. Naito suggests using a remote computer to control a combinational weigher (Col. 15, line 26 to col. 17, line 8). Griffen and Dillon et al disclose calibrating and diagnosing microprocessor controlled load cells remotely.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randy W. Gibson whose telephone number is (703) 308-1765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri., 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on (703) 308-3121. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-5115.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841